Facile C–C Bond Formation between Terminal Acetylenes and Olefines Leading to η^3 -Butadienyl and η^2 -Butadiene Complexes. A New Reaction Pathway for Metallacyclobutane Complexes

Christian Slugovc, Kurt Mereiter, Roland Schmid, and Karl Kirchner*

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry and Institute of Mineralogy, Crystallography, and Structural Chemistry Technical University of Vienna Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

Received February 11, 1998

Transition metal vinylidene complexes have been shown to be involved in various C–C coupling reactions such as the migratory insertion of alkyl, aryl, vinyl, and alkynyl ligands onto the electrophilic α -carbon of the vinylidene moiety.¹ A rather rare example of C–C bond formation within a vinylidene complex is the cycloaddition of alkynes and olefines to the M=C bond giving, respectively, metallacyclobutene and metallacyclobutane intermediates which then polymerize through ring opening.² Although the underlying process is characteristic of early transition metals, we here give a preliminary account of a facile C–C coupling reaction between olefines and terminal acetylenes proceeding via a ruthenacyclobutane³ intermediate finally converting into either η^3 -butadienyl or η^2 -butadiene complexes.^{1c,4} To the best of our knowledge, this type of conversion is a novel one.

Treatment of RuTp(COD)Cl (1)⁵ (Tp = tripyrazolylborate) with terminal acetylenes HC=CR (R = Ph, C₆H₉, ferrocenyl, CH₂Ph, *n*-Bu) in MeOH in the presence of NaOEt (1 equiv) at 65 °C for 24 h afforded the η^3 -butadienyl complexes **3** and **4** in high yields (Table 1, Scheme 1). This process is not restricted to COD complexes. Thus, in similar fashion, RuTp(η^3 -(P,C,C)-Ph₂PCH= CH(Ph)=CH₂)Cl (**2**)⁶ was found to react with HC=CR (R = Ph, C₆H₉) to give the η^3 -butadienyl complexes **5a,b** (Scheme 2). In addition to full spectroscopic and analytical characterizations of the products, the solid-state structures of **3a** and **5b** were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.⁷ ORTEP diagrams of **3a** and **5b** are included in Schemes 1 and 2 (For clarity, only

(2) (a) Beckhaus, R. Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 695. (b) Beckhaus, R.; Sang,
J.; Wagner, T.; Ganter, B. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1176. (c) Alt, H. G.;
Engelhardt, H. E.; Rausch, M. D.; Kool, L. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 329, 61.

(3) McNeill, K.; Anderson, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11244. Kinoshita, A.; Sakakibara, N.; Mori, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12388.

(4) For related η^3 -butadienyl complexes see: (a) Yi, C. S.; Liu, N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Liable-Sands, L. M. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3910. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Duffy, D. N.; Liddell, M. J.; Tiekink, E. R. T.; Nicholson, B. K. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1527. (c) Bruce, M. I.; Hambly, T. W.; Liddell, M. J.; Snow, M. R.; Swincer, A. G.; Tiekink, E. R. T. *Organometallics* **1990**, 9, 96. (d) Bruce, M. I.; Hambly, T. W.; Snow, M. R.; Swincer, A. G. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 501. (e) Bruce, M. I.; Rodgers, J. R.; Snow, M. R.; Swincer, A. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 271.

(5) Gemel, C.; Trimmel, G.; Slugovc, C.; Kremel, S.; Mereiter, K.; Schmid, R.; Kirchner, K. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 3998.

(6) Slugovc, C.; Wiede, P.; Mereiter, K.; Schmid, R.; Kirchner, K. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2768.

Table 1.Conversion and Product Distribution of the Reaction of1 with Terminal Acetylenes



			products, ^a yield	
entry	R	% conversion ^a	% of Z	% of <i>E</i>
1	Ph	100	3a , 98	
2	C ₆ H ₉	100	3b , 24	4b , 76
3	ferrocenyl	100		4c , 97
4	CH ₂ Ph	97	3d , 94	4d , 6
5	<i>n</i> -Bu	93	3e , 66	4e , 33

 a Conversions and product distributions have been determined by $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.





the coordinated nitrogen atoms of Tp are shown). The most notable feature is the distorted *s*-*trans* structure of the butadienyl moiety. Since the two allyl carbons, C-1, C-2, are noticeably more apart from the Ru center than is the enynyl carbon C-3, a major resonance contribution from the vinyl–alkene structure is indicated. Furthermore, the relatively uniform bond distances for the three allyl carbons point to substantial π -electron delocalization.^{1c,4}

The reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 1 represents our initial working hypothesis for providing the η^3 -butadienyl product. For the first step, the formation of the cationic vinylidene complex **A** via a 1.2 hydrogen shift is a now well-established process.⁸

 ^{(1) (}a) Selnau, H. E.; Merola, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4008. (b)
Wiedmann, R.; Steinert, P.; Schäfer, M.; Werner, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9864. (c) Werner, H.; Wiedmann, R.; Steinert, P.; Wolf, J. Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 127. (d) Ting, P.-C.; Lin, Y.-C.; Lee, G.-H.; Cheng, M.-C.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6433. (e) Bianchini, C.; Innocenti, P.; Peruzzini, M.; Romerosa, A.; Zanobini, F. Organometallics 1996, 15, 272.
(f) Yang, S.-M.; Chan, M. C.-W.; Cheung, K.-K.; Che, C.-M.; Peng, S.-M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2819. (g) Wang, Y.; Finn, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8045. (h) Merlic, C. A.; Pauly, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11319. (i) Lee, H. M.; Yao, J.; Jia, G. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3927. (j) O'Connor, J. M.; Hiibner, K.; Merwin, R.; Gantzel, P. K.; Adams, M.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3631.

⁽⁷⁾ Crystal data for **3a**: monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ (No.14), a = 8.180(2)Å, b = 11.252(3)Å, c = 25.335(6)Å, $\beta = 98.85(1)^\circ$, V = 2304(1)Å³, Z = 4, $R_1 = 0.032$ ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$), $R_1 = 0.057$ (all data), $wR_2 = 0.064$ (all data), no. of reflections 5029, no. of refined parameters 307. Crystal data for **5b**: monoclinic space group P21/n (No. 14), a = 9.647(2)Å, b = 15.155(3)Å, c = 24.373(6)Å, $\beta = 95.19(1)^\circ$, V = 3549(1)Å³, Z = 4, $R_1 = 0.031$ ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$), $R_1 = 0.046$ (all data), $wR_2 = 0.070$ (all data), no. of reflections 5625, no. of refined parameters 453. Crystal data for **6a**: triclinic space group P1 (No. 2), a = 8.191(1)Å, b = 9.852(1)Å, c = 16.335(2)Å, $\alpha = 103.53(1)^\circ$, $\beta = 93.55(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 109.04(1)^\circ$, V = 1197.7(2)Å³, Z = 2, $R_1 = 0.024$ ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$), $R_1 = 0.029$ (all data), $wR_2 = 0.056$ (all data), no. of reflections 6815, no. of refined parameters 317.

^{(8) (}a) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. **1991**, *91*, 197. (b) Slugovc, C.; Sapunov, V. N.; Wiede, P.; Mereiter, K.; Schmid, R.; Kirchner, K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1997**, 4209. (c) de los Rios, I.; Tenorio, M. J.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 6529.

Scheme 2



We⁶ and others⁹ have already shown that protic solvents favor cationic vinylidene complexes. Subsequently, the metallacyclobutane complex **B** is formed via a [2 + 2] cycloaddition, followed by deprotonation of one of the β -hydrogen atoms of **B** yielding the stable η^3 -butadienyl complex.

The following observations may be taken to support this mechanism. (i) The occurrence of a vinylidene intermediate could be verified by a labeling experiment. Thus, the η^3 -butadienyl product $3a-d_1$ of the reaction of deuterium-labeled phenylacetylene $DC \equiv CPh$ and 1 contains deuterium exclusively at the olefinic carbon C-4 of the butadienyl moiety (Scheme 1). On the other hand, the η^3 -butadienyl complex **3a** did not incorporate deuterium to give $3a-d_1$ under reflux in CD₃OD in the presence of NaOEt. (ii) The formation of RuTp(COD)H that might occur by chloride replacement from 1 with MeOH followed by β elimination was not observed. Beyond that, when RuTp(COD)H¹⁰ was used as the starting material in place of 1, under otherwise identical conditions, only small amounts (<20%) of η^3 -butadienyl products were obtained in addition to polyacetylenes as the main products. (iii) In the absence of base only polyacetylenes¹¹ were obtained with decomposition of the Ru complex to intractable materials. (iv) When in place of NaOEt an excess of KCl is added, B rearranges presumably via a β -hydrogen elimination/reductive elimination sequence (equivalent to a 1,2 hydrogen shift) to the neutral η^2 -butadiene complex **6a** (structure included in Scheme

Scheme 3



1). The vacant coordination site of the resulting 16-electron intermediate is occupied by the incoming chloride.

The stereochemistry of 3 and 4, difficult to determine by NMR methods, is established by the products formed from the reaction with HCl or CF₃COOH at room temperature given by the olefine complexes 6 (Z isomers) and 7 (E isomers) with the vinyl side chain (Scheme 1). Under this experimental condition no isomerization takes place and the stereochemistry of the butadienyl fragment is readily apparent from the vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ of the vinyl moiety being about 11 and 16 Hz in case of a cis and trans arrangement, respectively. This result implies that the C-3 carbon of η^3 -butadienyl complexes is nucleophilic, which offers the possibility of further functionalization by treating them with electrophiles, e.g. **3a** has been reacted with I_2 to yield complex 8 in essentially quantitative yield (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, it is shown that selective coupling of olefines and terminal acetylenes is feasible in the coordination sphere of Ru(II). Although the coupling in the present case is particularly assisted by the intramolecular mode with favorable stereochemical conditions brought about by the anchoring group L, an extension to the intermolecular mode should in principle be conceivable. The deprotonation pathway for the onward reaction of the metallacyclobutane complex has yet not been considered.¹² The butadienyl fragment is nucleophilic at C-3 and is capable of reacting with electrophiles such as H⁺ and I₂ to give the 1,3diene unit. We believe this work may initiate a systematic search of coupling olefines with actevlenes into functionalized 1,3-dienes in a synthetically useful fashion.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the "Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung" is gratefully acknowledged (Project No. 11896).

Supporting Information Available: Text giving full experimental details and analytical data for complexes 3-8 and tables of X-ray structural data, including data collection parameters, positional and thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles for complexes 3a, 5b, and 6a (27 pages, print/PDF). See any current masthead page for ordering information and Web access instructions.

JA9804722

^{(9) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Dyker, G.; Kulawiec, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 7809. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Hall, B. C.; Zaitseva, N. N.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 522, 307.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Moreno, B.; Sabo-Etienne, S.; Chaudret, B.; Rodriguez, A.; Jalon, F.; Trofimenko, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 7441. (11) Slugovc, C.; Doberer, D.; Gemel, C.; Schmid, R.; Kirchner, K.;

Winkler, B.; Stelzer, F. Monatsh. Chem. 1998, 129, 221.

⁽¹²⁾ Grubbs, R. H. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, England, 1982; Vol. 8, p 499.